

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                 | NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR |                      | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |  |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/759,533 01/20/2004           |                                      | Franz Josef Summerer | 1690.1009           | 7116             |  |  |
| 21171 75                        | 590 02/07/2006                       |                      | EXAM                | EXAMINER         |  |  |
| STAAS & HALSEY LLP<br>SUITE 700 |                                      |                      | HUSON, MONICA ANNE  |                  |  |  |
|                                 | RK AVENUE, N.W.                      | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |  |  |
|                                 | N, DC 20005                          | 1732                 |                     |                  |  |  |

DATE MAILED: 02/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |   | Application   | - No  | Applicant(a)          | W     |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|---------------|---|-----------------------|-------|--|--|--|--|
|  |   | Application   | i NO.                                       | Applicant(s)          |       |  |  |  |  |
|  |   | 10/759,533    |   | SUMMERER, FRANZ JOSEF |       |  |  |  |  |
|  | Office Action Summary   | Examiner      |   | Art Unit              |       |  |  |  |  |
|  |   | Monica A. H   |   | 1732                  |       |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply   |   |               |   |                       |       |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |               |   |                       |       |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |               |   |                       |       |  |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠  | I)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 January 2004</u> .  |               |   |                       |       |  |  |  |  |
|  | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.   |               |   |                       |       |  |  |  |  |
| 3)   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |               |   |                       |       |  |  |  |  |
|  | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |               |   |                       |       |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |               |   |                       |       |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠  | Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.  |               |   |                       |       |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |               |   |                       |       |  |  |  |  |
| 5)□  | Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |               |   |                       |       |  |  |  |  |
|  | Claim(s) is/are rejected.   |               |   |                       |       |  |  |  |  |
|  | Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |               |   |                       |       |  |  |  |  |
| 8)[🔀   | Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> are subject to restriction and/or e  | election requ | irement.                                    |                       |       |  |  |  |  |
| Applicati  | on Papers   |               |   |                       |       |  |  |  |  |
| 9)   | The specification is objected to by the Examine   | er.           |   |                       |       |  |  |  |  |
| 10)  | 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  |               |   |                       |       |  |  |  |  |
|  | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |               |   |                       |       |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |   |               |   |                       |       |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.   |   |               |   |                       |       |  |  |  |  |
| Priority u   | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119   |               |   |                       |       |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  |   |               |   |                       |       |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |               |   |                       |       |  |  |  |  |
|  | <ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul> |               |   |                       |       |  |  |  |  |
|  | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   |               |   |                       |       |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |   |               |   |                       |       |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |               |   |                       |       |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment   | t(s)  |               |   |                       |       |  |  |  |  |
|  | e of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4             | I) Interview Summary (                      |                       |       |  |  |  |  |
|  | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  | 5             | Paper No(s)/Mail Dat  Notice of Informal Pa |                       | -152) |  |  |  |  |
|  | No(s)/Mail Date   |               | 6)  |                       |       |  |  |  |  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-8, drawn to a process for molding, classified in class 264, subclass328.1+.
- II. Claims 9-15, drawn to a device for molding, classified in class 425, subclass 542+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus, such as one that does not require a first mold plate mounted rotatably about an axis of rotation, and a second mold plate, which are tilted relative to one another in an open state.

A telephone call was made to David Pitcher on 26 January 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monica A. Huson whose telephone number is 571-272-1198. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Colaianni can be reached on 571-272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Monica A Huson January 26, 2006

Arical Chison

MICHAEL P. COLAIANNI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER